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The design of remotely controlled and unmanned aerial vehicles is an important direction in modern aircraft development [1]. A promising aircraft of this type is a paraglider.

In this paper, we suggest a mathematical model of paraglider's planar longitudinal motion aimed at the study of paraglider dynamics and the synthesis of its automatic control. The mechanical model of the vehicle represents a single rigid body with three degrees of freedom that consists of a wing (sail) and the gondola. The sail and the gondola are connected by cords, which are modeled by absolutely rigid rods. A driver (propeller) producing a thrust is mounted on the gondola. We construct a control law for the thrust that stabilizes the flight of the vehicle at a preset altitude.

## 1. MATHEMATICAL MODEL OF PARAGLIDER'S LONGITUDINAL MOTION

A schematic view of the paraglider is presented in Fig. 1. The wing (sail) is depicted as a straight-line segment centered at the point $A$. Assume that the lift $P=$ $C_{y} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S$ and the frontal drag $Q=C_{x} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S$ are both applied at $A$. Here, $C_{y}$ and $C_{x}$ are the lift and front drag coefficients [2,3], $\rho$ is the air density, $V_{A}$ is the velocity of $A$ relative to the incoming flow, and $S$ is the surface area of the sail. Of course, the application point of the forces $P$ and $Q$ varies with the velocity and orientation of the sail. However, we ignore this circumstance, because the torque of these forces relative to the paraglider's center of mass $C$ depends "weakly" on the location of their application point if their arm (the distance between the paraglider's center of mass and the sail) is large as compared to the size of the sail.

Denote by $G$ the center of mass of the gondola and by $G A=L$ the distance between $G$ and $A$. Let $m_{1}$ be the

[^1]mass of the gondola, $m_{2}$ be the mass of the sail, and $m_{1}+m_{2}=M$. Then $G C=l_{1}=\frac{m_{2} L}{M}$ and $C A=L-l_{1}=l_{2}$. If $m_{2} \ll m_{1}$, then $l_{1} \ll l_{2}$; i.e., the center of mass of the entire vehicle is close to the gondola. Let $J$ denote the moment of inertia of the vehicle relative to $C$ and $g$ be the acceleration of gravity. If the lengths of the cords are different, then the angle between the sail and the line $A G$ is not right. The angle between the perpendicular to the sail and $A G$ is denoted by $\sigma$ (see Fig. 1). The lift of the sail can be controlled by turning it in the longitudinal plane through a constant angle $\sigma$.

We introduce a coordinate system $X O Y$ fixed with respect to the ground surface with $O X$ and $O Y$ being its horizontal and vertical axes, respectively. Let $x$ and $y$ be the coordinates of the paraglider's center of mass $C, V$ be the velocity of the point $C$, and $\theta$ is the angle between the velocity $\mathbf{V}$ of $C$ and the positive $O X$ axis. The pitch angle between the vertical axis $O Y$ and the line $G A$ is measured counterclockwise and is denoted by $\vartheta$ (see Fig. 1); $\dot{\vartheta}=\omega$.


Fig. 1. Schematic view of the paraglider.

The velocities $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{A}}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{G}}$ of $A$ and $G$, respectively, are the sum of the velocity of $C$ and the velocities of these points relative to $C$. They are calculated by the formulas

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{A}=\sqrt{V^{2}+\omega^{2} l_{2}^{2}-2 V \omega l_{2} \cos (\vartheta-\theta)}, \\
& V_{G}=\sqrt{V^{2}+\omega^{2} l_{1}^{2}+2 V \omega l_{1} \cos (\vartheta-\theta)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The angle $\beta_{A}$ between $\mathbf{V}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{A}$ is determined using the law of sines: $\sin \beta_{A}=\frac{\omega l_{2} \sin (\vartheta-\theta)}{V_{A}}$. The angle $\beta_{G}$ between the velocities $\boldsymbol{\omega} \mathbf{l}_{\mathbf{1}}$ and $\mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{G}}$ is given by the formula $\sin \beta_{G}=\frac{V \sin (\vartheta-\theta)}{V_{G}}$.

In the linear approximation with respect to the angle of attack $\alpha$, the coefficient $C_{y}$ can be represented as $C_{y}=$ $C_{y}^{\alpha} \alpha$, where $C_{y}^{\alpha}$ (like $C_{x}$ ) is a constant. To take into account the nonlinear dependence of the lift and frontal drag coefficients on the angle of attack, we have to know the polar relating $C_{y}$ to $C_{x}$ for various angles of attack $[2,3]$.

The equations of motion of $C$ in projections onto the tangent to its trajectory and the normal can be written as

$$
\begin{gather*}
M \dot{V}=-M g \sin \theta+T \cos (\vartheta-\theta) \\
+C_{y}^{\alpha}\left(\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}+\sigma\right) \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S \sin \beta_{A} \\
-C_{x} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S \cos \beta_{A}-C_{x G} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{G}^{2} S \cos \left(\vartheta-\theta-\beta_{G}\right)  \tag{1}\\
+R_{y} \sin \theta-R_{x} \cos \theta \\
M V \dot{\theta}=-M g \cos \theta+T \sin (\vartheta-\theta) \\
+C_{y}^{\alpha}\left(\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}+\sigma\right) \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S \cos \beta_{A} \\
+C_{x} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S \sin \beta_{A}-C_{x G} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{G}^{2} S \sin \left(\vartheta-\theta-\beta_{G}\right)  \tag{2}\\
+R_{y} \cos \theta+R_{x} \sin \theta
\end{gather*}
$$

respectively. Here, the first terms on the right-hand sides of (1) and (2) describe the projections of the vehicle's gravity force onto the corresponding axes; the second terms describe the projections of the thrust $T$ (assuming that it is applied at $G$ and is perpendicular to the line $A G$ ); the third terms, the projections of the lift $\left(\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}+\sigma\right.$ is the angle of attack $\alpha$ ); the fourth and fifth terms, the projections of the frontal drag applied to the sail and the gondola, respectively ( $C_{x G}=$ const is the frontal drag coefficient for the gondola); $R_{y}$ is the vertical component of the support reaction, which is directed upward (positive) when the gondola wheels are rolled on the ground at the take-off run of the paraglider; and $R_{x}$ is the horizontal drag of the gondola roll-
ing on the ground. After the paraglider takes off the ground, $R_{x}=R_{y}=0$.

The equations of motion of the vehicle about its center of mass are

$$
\begin{gather*}
J \ddot{\vartheta}=-C_{y}^{\alpha} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S\left(\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}+\sigma\right) l_{2} \sin \left(\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}\right) \\
+C_{x} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{A}^{2} S l_{2} \cos \left(\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}\right)  \tag{3}\\
-C_{x G} \frac{1}{2} \rho V_{G}^{2} S l_{1} \cos \beta_{G}+T l_{1}+\left(R_{y} \sin \vartheta-R_{x} \cos \vartheta\right) l_{1} .
\end{gather*}
$$

The motion of the paraglider's center of mass is governed by the obvious kinematic relations

$$
\dot{x}=V \cos \theta, \quad \dot{y}=V \sin \theta .
$$

When accelerating during the take-off run, the paraglider moves on the ground. In the course of flight, it flies above the ground. Therefore, the vertical coordinate $h$ of $G$, which is equal to $y-l_{1} \cos \vartheta$, is subject to the unilateral constraint $h \geq 0$. For ground motion, $h \equiv 0$. Differentiating this identity twice and substituting into it the expressions for $\dot{V}, \dot{\theta}$, and $\ddot{\vartheta}$ from Eqs. (1)-(3), we can find $R_{y}$ (if $R_{x}$ is given). If the support reaction is positive, then substituting it into (1)-(3) yields the equations of motion for the gondola rolling on the ground. When the reaction reverses its sign, the vehicle takes off the ground.

## 2. STEADY-STATE FLIGHT REGIMES

If $T=$ const, then, using dynamic equations (1)-(3), we can find the steady-state flight regime under which $V \equiv$ const, $\vartheta \equiv$ const, and $\theta \equiv$ const. In this regime, the paraglider moves uniformly and progressively along a straight line making an angle $\theta$ with the $O X$ axis. Substituting $\dot{V}=0$ and $\omega=\dot{\theta}=0$ into differential equations (1)-(3) yields three algebraic equations relating four unknowns: $T, \vartheta, \theta$, and $V$. These nonlinear equations define a one-parameter family of steady-state regimes, which can be constructed numerically. It is convenient to use $\theta$ as a parameter in the numerical study. Then each given (reasonable) value of $\theta$ is associated with some values of $\vartheta, V$, and $T$. These steadystate values include $\theta=0$ and the corresponding values of $\vartheta, V$, and $T$, with the last value denoted by $T_{*}$. In other words, the steady-state regimes include a horizontal flight at $T=T_{*}=$ const. For thrust values other than $T_{*}$, the paraglider in a steady-state regime follows an inclined trajectory. Therefore, the velocity of a horizontal flight cannot be changed by varying the thrust. Setting up variational equations for steady-state regimes, we can analyze their stability. Steady-state regimes with highly inclined trajectories are unstable.


Fig. 2. Domains of asymptotic stability and stability with a margin of $0.1,0.2$, or 0.3 in the plane of $k_{h}$ and $k_{\theta}$.

## 3. STABILIZATION OF THE FLIGHT ALTITUDE

At small flight altitudes, the dependence of $\rho$ on altitude can be neglected. Then the motion of the paraglider is independent of its altitude above the ground. In other words, the coordinate $y$ is a cyclic variable. Therefore, the horizontal uncontrolled motion of the vehicle (at $T=T_{*}=$ const) is indifferent to $y$ and, hence, is not asymptotically stable with respect to the flight altitude. A flight at the desired altitude can be stabilized by controlling the thrust. A stabilizing control is constructed in the form of feedback with respect to the deviation of the gondola's flight altitude from the desired (preset) value and with respect to $\theta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\theta} \theta \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $T_{s}=$ const is a given thrust equal or close to $T_{*}$, $h_{d}$ is the desired flight altitude of $G$ above the ground, and $k_{h}$ and $k_{\theta}$ are constant feedback factors.

Under control (4), system (1)-(3) exhibits a steadystate regime of motion: $V \equiv$ const, $\theta \equiv 0, \vartheta \equiv$ const, $h \equiv$ const, and $T \equiv T_{*}$. The steady-state flight altitude $h$ is determined by the equality

$$
\begin{equation*}
h=h_{d}+\frac{T_{s}-T_{*}}{k_{h}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows that the error $\Delta h=\left|h-h_{d}\right|$ in the preset altitude $h_{d}$ is smaller when $T_{s}-T_{*}$ is closer to zero and (or) when the feedback factor $k_{h}$ is higher. However, if $k_{h}$ is too high, the steady-state regime may become unstable [4].

The admissible thrust values are bounded above by a certain value $T_{m}$, and the thrust cannot be negative. Therefore, instead of (4), we consider the feedback


Fig. 3. Paraglider take-off and flight at the constant altitude $h=h(x)$.

$$
T=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{m} \text { if } T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\theta} \theta \geq T_{m}  \tag{6}\\
T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\theta} \theta \\
\text { if } 0 \leq T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\theta} \theta \leq T_{m} \\
0 \text { if } T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\theta} \theta \leq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

## 4. NUMERICAL STUDY

The numerical study was performed for some hypothetical values of the paraglider parameters.

Figure 2 shows the domain of asymptotic stability constructed in the plane of $k_{h}$ and $k_{\theta}$. Inspection of the figure reveals that $k_{h}$ is bounded above for each value of $k_{\theta}$. This figure also displays the boundaries of the stability domains at a given stability margin. These are the domains in which $\operatorname{Re} \lambda_{i} \leq 0.1,0.2,0.3(i=1,2,3,4,5)$ or, in other words, in which the eigenvalue nearest to the imaginary axis lies to the left of it at a distance of $0.1,0.2$, or 0.3 .

The code for solving system (1)-(3), (6) was written in Matlab. It includes the possibility of flight animation and provides the opportunity to analyze various regimes of paraglider motion. Figure 3 shows the trajectory of a paraglider taking off and flying at the constant altitude $h=5.2 \mathrm{~m}$. On the acceleration segment of about 20 m , the gondola moves on the ground. The height $h_{d}=5 \mathrm{~m}$ is specified in (6). The static error $\Delta h=$ 0.2 m can be reduced by increasing $k_{h}$. However, as this coefficient grows, the transitional process may become oscillatory. The oscillations can be suppressed by increasing $k_{\theta}$.

Note that, when the initial velocity $V(0)$ is low, the angle of attack $\alpha\left(\alpha=\vartheta-\theta+\beta_{A}+\sigma\right)$ at the beginning of the motion takes "large" values for which the linearization of $C_{y}$ with respect to $C_{y}=C_{y}^{\alpha} \alpha$ is incorrect. The mathematical model designed above is correct for sufficiently high initial velocities of the vehicle.

The flight of the paraglider at a preset altitude can be stabilized by applying a feedback control with respect to the altitude and its derivative:

$$
T=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
T_{m} \text { if } T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\dot{h}} \dot{h} \geq T_{m} \\
T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{h} \dot{h} \\
\text { if } 0 \leq T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{h} \dot{h} \leq T_{m} \\
0 \text { if } T_{s}-k_{h}\left(h-h_{d}\right)-k_{\dot{h}} \dot{h} \leq 0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Note that the paraglider's take-off and landing trajectories can be controlled by specifying $h_{d}$ as a function of time or distance.
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